Minutes

1. Welcome (Tamara Smith - 5 minutes)
   a. Attendees per the chat roll call: Tommy Zarembka (Food & Friends), Yavar Moghimi- Amerihealth Caritas DC, Aida Fitzgerald, Senior Staff Attorney, Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia, Alyssa Khan, Children’s Law Center, Jennifer Joyce, Community Connections, Liz Nash, Director of Case Management - CareFirst Community Health Plan, DC, Christine Golden, PhD, Behavioral Health Services Director, HSCSN, Samuel Williams III, MD, Medical Director, Magellan Health and Chief Psychiatric Officer-Medstar Family Choice, Kenya Onley Sr. Dir. Provider Network Management, HSCSN, Teresa Danforth, Director Provider Network Management, Magellan Healthcare, Teresa Odom, VP Clinical Care, Magellan Healthcare/Medstar Family Choice, Juanita Price, CEO Hillcrest Children and Family Center, Charisse Vickerie, Manager of Provider Relations, HSCSN, Dena Hasan, Policy Director, DHS, Marc Dalton, MD, MPH, Chief Psychiatric Medical Officer HSCSN, Tamara Smith, DCPCA, Victoria Roberts, Community of Hope, Abby Charles Institute for Public Health Innovation, Kerri Kerr from Magellan Health, Angie Boulware - Magellan Health, Rachelle Toman, MedStar Health Family Medicine

2. Report Findings (Staff – 40 minutes)
   a. Discuss the Medicaid Behavioral Health Request for Information (RFI) report and the JSI SUD Provider Capacity Needs Assessment report findings
      o JSI SUD Provider Capacity Needs Assessment

JSI SUD Provider Capacity Needs Assessment Discussion point(s):
- Discussion on the JSI was tabled as the report was in the process of being approved by executive leadership and posted online. The JSI report should be going out by COB, March 5, 2021. DHCF
will send the report to as soon as available and will request feedback on areas the group was unable to discuss in person, including:

- Does the subcommittee have feedback on the recommendations of the Needs Assessment and how do we want to prioritize them?
- Strengths/Limitations of the report?
- Does the subcommittee want to endorse the recommendations as a whole – or specific recommendations - in the report in a proposal to the full MCAC?
- Are there recommendations or topics in the JSI SUD Provider Capacity Needs Assessment that the Committee would like to address or consider in more detail, or via a workgroup?

**DHCF/DBH Medicaid Behavioral Health Redesign RFI**

- Feedback and potential revisions to
  - Goals & Principles
- Are there topics in the RFI that the subcommittee should address in a workgroup?
- What is the most appropriate alignment with the Behavioral Health Integration Stakeholder Advisory Group?
  - Advisory Group was not discussed.

**Discussion point(s):**

- As of 2p March 3, 8 survey responses were received for 10 questions posed.
- A few of the survey questions had intertwining themes that could make for making more clear distinctions more challenging (will update survey with space for written commentary. Will resend the survey March 4 and close it COB, March 5).

- Themes from the RFI survey:
  - Data exchange theme from a survey question. Several on the call agreed this was an important area for work by the subcommittee.
  - Several subcommittee members endorsed committee work on the following topics
    - Strategies to improve health equity (ranked highest out of the ten questions)
    - Social determinants of health and community-based centered survey questions. Discussion about how the health care system could partner to address concerns at the census-track issue such as the Mayor’s recent initiative to focus on 150 blocks across the District.
  - Workforce development was ranked lowest out of the ten questions based on early responses, however, several participants felt the subcommittee could focus on workforce issues to address issues and questions such as:
    - Recruiting clinicians from schools is difficult
    - What is the quality of the providers and are they culturally competent?
    - What is the technical assistance infrastructure?
    - How can providers attract and retain staff?
• Workforce Development recommendations
  o Shared monitoring of performance between providers is helpful.
  o VBP and pay for performance are helpful.
  o Clarification of roles, training, and experience.
  o Support newer clinicians (fee for service availability?)
  o New practice models

• More specific categories recapped that could inform recommendations
  o Payment methods to providers
  o Technology and use of data
  o Licensure and scope of practice
  o Contracting and performance standards

b. Discuss committee actions
  i. Endorse and/or prioritize recommendations for the full MCAC?
  ii. Are there any specific aspects of either report the reports should the subcommittee work on?

3. Next Meeting – May 5th at 4pm? (Tamara Smith - 5 minutes)
   a. As it stands, the next meeting will be April 7 at 4p.